G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 295

1897-98

Serial Nos. 1-18.

(L.R.)

Later references.

LR 57-35-(1-14)
LR 290-42 (1-2)
L.R. 29042 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-18.

~~Part~~ B for April 02.

SUBJECT.

Resumption of the Kerebandi Inam Land of Sarvamanya, Kudalur Village Chamarajapatna Taluk, from Hotte Mallegauda and other Service Inam holders.

Contermanded

Issued

W D 1851—GPE—20,000—15-12-15

Petn Nos 2176/21.2.98 &
1173/8-11-97.

Section
File No
Serial No

Read Petn
4/3/98.

Xerox - send
to Dy Comptr
(Personnel)
Cell
13/3/98

13.3.98

Send petn No 2176 & the
Xerox with the remarks
Drafted

14/3/98

20/3/98

16/3/98

2

Office Note

Petition No 1739 8.11.97

Petitioners:- Hotte Iralle Gauda & others of Channake Gandanadoddi Channapatna Taluk.

Subject-

This is an appeal against the order of the Deputy Commr, Bangalore District, directing the resumption of the Kerebandi attached to the Kudlur Inam village Inam, in the possession of the appellants and ordering it to be given to another on the same tenure.

2. On 8.11.97 the appellants submitted a petition to Govt complaining that the Dy Commr passed this order without

without enquiring into the matter fully, and without taking the explanation of the appellants, that the authorities failed to take duties from them, that there was no ground for the removal of the appellants from office and that therefore the Dy Commr's order should be cancelled.

3. The petition was forwarded to the Dy Commr for submission of a report with records.

4. In reply the Dy Commr reported in his letter No 343.S6//1/36 that on 5th August 1935 one S. Ramaswami Iyengar, an Inamdar of the Saravanayam village of Kudlur in the Dharmapuri Taluk, complained that Natte Malle Gowda & 2 others, the holders of the Kurchandi Inam attached to the office of the Kudlur Cauk had neglected to perform their duties

and thereby caused the village tank to fall into disrepair and that therefore their Inam land might be resumed and granted to one Sankiyanda & 2 others who were willing to perform the duties properly & and to take up the Inam land. The Dy Comm[r] referred the matter to the Amildar of Chamarajpatna who reported that the holders of the Inam had not been performing their duties, and that as the Inam was unenfranchised, it might be resumed and granted to others. Thereupon the Dy Comm[r] issued an order ~~accordingly~~ accordingly. The Appts then applied for a review of the above order

urging that the order was passed without enquiry into the matter and without giving them an opportunity of being heard, that the representations of Ramaswami Iyengar were false, & that they were regularly performing their duties as per terms of the Inam. After hearing the appellants the Dy Coll. referred the matter to the Amildar for further enquiry and report as to (1) whether the appellants did their duty as holders of the Kerebandi Inam; (2) if not what was the measure of their neglect, (3) Whether the appellants are hereditary owners of the Inam land; and (4) How many of the vrittidars had consented to and urged the dismissal of the Patrs.

5. After holding an enquiry the Amildar reported on 4.7.97

6

that 4 out of 7 ~~[illegible]~~ vettidars had resolved in a meeting of the vettidars held on 29.11.96 that as the Petrs had not been doing their duties properly they had appointed one Anku Ganda & others for Kereleandi service; that the tank having been thrown into much disrepair the Govt repaired it subjecting the Inamdars to a heavy cost; that the Inam had not been enfranchised and that all the Inamdars consented to dismiss the appellant from office. The Amildar supported the finding of the Inamdars and said that the appt had adduced no evidence to show that they were hereditary Vaikdars.

On perusal of the record the Dy Commr, Mr. V. N. Narasimmayar, ordered as follows:-

"It is clear from the above that the holders of the Kerebandi Inam did not execute the work required of them from the conditions of the tenure. The Inamdars of the village came to that conclusion, and at their request, the holder of the service Inam has been ~~ousted~~ ousted and the service has been given to another on the same tenure and conditions."

7. Thereupon the Dy Comr has referred to para 4 of Chief Commr's Roc. No 4327/R128 dt 16.9.1874 ~~and~~ to Roc. No 2227/R50 dt 22.6.876 and to Rule II of Roc. No 2624/R43 dt 24.2.1

and

and he was requested to quote his authority as well as the necessity for renewing the loan in this case and granting it to another. The Dy Comm^r was further requested to obtain & submit a copy of the Register of engines relating to the Tenbarry loan in question.

8. In reply the Dy Comm^r stated that the Chief Comm^rs orders quoted do not seem to be applicable to the present case, that they refer to the Kerbeade loans in Gram villages, that the applicants having failed to maintain the bank for whose upkeep the loan had been granted they were deprived of the same and others were appointed in their stead, that ~~that is~~ the rates ~~are fixed~~

that it was apparently a case of dismissal of a refractory or neglectful servant paid not in money but by land free of rent. He also submitted an extract from the register of Inam enquiry.

9. ~~This~~ The Register shows that the Inam was confirmed as per Huzur Seri account of 1807. It does not give the name of the original grantee and the name entered in the register prepared by Ramaiya in 1807 is "Kudurkere". In Col 15 Dasi, Konda and Malle Gundana Linga are mentioned as holders being mentioned as heirs of the original grantee or subsequent registered holders in Col 16. The Inam was confirmed

free of tax.

11. The Dy Commr's attention was thereupon called to para 4 I & II of the Chief Commr's Notification No 4327 / Genl/128 dt 16.7.74 (Page 6 of Selections no. II) and he was requested to report in detail as to whether the Hudur tank to which the appellants are said to have failed to execute repairs comes within the purview of the above para and as to the actual circumstances under which the tank was repaired by Govt.

12. With reference to the above the Dy Commr reports that the tank of Hudur is a serial one, that it was repaired by Govt at the request of the

Comes within the purview of para 4 II of the Chief Comr's notification referred to above.

Inamdars

who could not comply with the repeated demands of Govt to bring their tank to a standard of safety, that the work was begun only after Parpattegar Krishnappa executed a muchalika on behalf of the Inamdars on 13th July 1889 to the effect that Govt might repair the tank, and that the tank does not come within the purview of para 4 I of the chief Comm's notification referred to above as it does not irrigate the lands in any Govt village.

12. The papers relating to the circumstances under which the tank was repaired by Govt are not in the file. The Dy Comm's

report

report is based on the Kanungo's report date by Comm^r 6-3-01.

Subd a.

On the facts the applicants do not seem to have a case. They have been dispossessed by the Inamdars of the unencumbered Inam lands in their possession as they gained to execute sirkars to the Kanoji Bank. Govt have a right to interfere with the upkeep insist upon the proper upkeep of this bank which is a charitable one (Para 4 (II), page 6 Selection No 2). It is however a question whether the Dy Commr can interfere in disputes between the Inamdars and their tenants. There is no express prohibition against so doing. At the same time it appears to be open to the Civil Courts to take cognizance of such disputes. (Rec Code Sec 211 etc)

2/10/07

The question for consideration is whether encroachments effected on an Inam village can be transferred to another person on the ground that the encroachment has failed to be maintained for over 12 years. The Inam has been granted. Six villages have settled by the Inamdar & the remaining Inam unit. Each year assigned by the Inam holder. Encroachments Inams in question. Now they are unencumbered & the holder returned from exile & encroached upon land & restored Inam holders in Inam villages. If the Inam is unencumbered granted to the Inamdar & the Inamdar

village. Has the land
included in the area
of the village?

Mr Ramsay Scott
Secretary will please
see me with these
papers. I want this dealt
in time to be
referred

23/7/1907

Asst Secy (Rev.)
For Confidence
31/1/8

369 Please peruse the papers
again. It is clear that
not the case of a long standing
custom like the one under
consideration the Inamdar
of [illegible] has no right 12/7/02
to dispossess a servile Inamdar
holder of his land. If the
servile Inamdar had failed
to do the service for which
the land was granted to Inam.
It is open to the Inamdar
of the village to take the service
Inam land brought under
assessment following
the ~~decision~~ practice in
alienation of such lands
in that village. The

23/7/1907

14 / The question of
Merchandi unions
in Guernsey is
dealt with in Chief
Commissioners Report
No 43 dated 20th Dec
1875. The Lands should
be conferred to the
present holders on half
Guernsey rent for life &
Charged with full assessment
Francaise. Reference
may be made to the
Income subject on the question
whether any Guernsey
may be entered on the
Francaise in Consideration
of the additional assessment
Revenue derivable from
the enfranchised Perchage
Rents. A Return is also
is due for the Council to
hear. Post for hearing

[illegible]

13/3/97 CC 13/3/97

In this office no: Rec- 438 & 23/26 . 8 . 01
the Inam Superintendent
was requested to favour
Govt: with his opinion as
to whether any additional
Quit-Rent may be imposed
on the Kudlur Inam village,
shewn the Kerebandi Inam
be enfranchised on ½
quit-rent for life & charged
with full agreement thereafter
under ltr: Com^r: Pro: No:
9620 & 20.12.1876.
A.43

The Inam Superin-
tendent replied (in his no: 26 & 26.9.01)
that he was not aware of
any rule supporting the
imposition of Add^l quit-
rent; that the Kere bandi
Inams went with the vil-
lage and the holders thereof
stepped into the position of
Govt: so far as the maintenance

of

of the tank & the land
assigned for such a purpose
was concerned, that in case
any additional quit-rent
were imposed it should
go to the holders & not to
Govt:; that all differences
between the holders of the
village and Kerabandi Cairs
should be settled among
themselves, ~~and~~ that the
Govt: can only insist upon
the proper maintenance of
the tank in accordance
with the ~~Case~~ Rules on the
subject and that as the
imposition of the Quit-rent
would be in commutation
of service he does not see
how the Govt: would be
benefited by Quit-rent
when it does not accept
the up keep of the tank

24.10.01.

Subd. to 1st Cl.
26.10.01.

Subd to 2nd Cl.
6/12/01.

Read
6.12.1901.

Seen
10/12/01

R° 10/2/62
1559

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. ~~94~~ 97 of ~~1897~~ 1898.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

1. Kottenaliyanda
2. Sesha
3 Konda

vs.

K. Shamiengar & others
Inhabitants of Immanu-
-nya Kuntur village
Channapatna Taluq.
Bangalore Dist.

Claim for the Kere land Inam land under Kudlur tank.

Rec'd the 11th inst
Issd Notice
14/9/01

Appellants & Respts 2 & 3 Konda present. Respts 1 Immanuyan & 4 & 5 absent Notices not served. Adjourned to 1st March 1901.

Rec'd 10/9/01 593

Read 4.IX.1901.

11/9/1901

Subd to Senior Councillor 26/10/01 CR.

Rd 27/10/01 841

Heard & Reserved Order 15-2-02 Notices issued on 16-1-02 Rc 10/2/02

10/12/01

Rc 10/12/01.

Appellants in person

Respondents by Mr Shaw

Agent. [illegible]

Appeal allowed

1892/0302

189

No. 1173 A 1st Appl 14-12-97

Name of Petitioner Hutte mallegowda and 2 others

Village Chinnelegowdanadoddi

Taluk Chintamani

District Bangalore

Arzi

Receipt 8-11-97

Disposal

Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order of Asst Collr dated 20.10.97 in case No. dated 20.10.97 of Asst Collr regarding the office of Karnam

Report on the petn

On the report No. 13 dated 31.7.97 submitted by you enclosing the petition of the petitioners that the previous Asst Collr has passed an order on 18.9.96 in the appeal that you may be removed from the office of Karnam of the Hanumanagowdanapalya as he failed to perform duties to the Sahukar factory Hanumanagowdanapalya and that another

(1) Issue Notice
(2) By Conveyance
20-12-97
(3) Issue Notice to the Asst Collr to send records

X Direct him to send the records (as the order of 18/9/96) & ask him to explain the delay of more than a year in appealing

16/12/97

Section 29 & 97-98

16-11-97

Stamp affixed

Examine - in the instance of infringement as to whether the impugned order of 31/7/97 is the first intimation to the petrs of the Asst Collr's order of 18/9/96

3) The facts are not very clear. What petitioners have been carrying since Karnam Hanumanagowdanapalya attached to the Karnam Were & that they did not perform their duties - Satisfactory reasons to be stated clearly. What the Asst Collr has done - Whether the Inamdar concerned has been registered - is not clear

Put up Report Comm Mar 4/3/98

Recd 7th
4/3/98

shewed the appeal involved
therefore nothing can the
dec. by me in the matter"

Petr submits that Institute
to the effect of the enlargement is
decidedly that the order of the
Mr Court referred to was not
passed after hearing the explan-
ation from the petrs or on
the examination of the Inmates
that there are 26 claimants
for the Inam land; that they
failed to expel duly from the
petrs property; that the Inmates
have no right to
recommend the petrs removal;
that the Inmates were
examined by the Mr
and therefore may
be enrolment of the
order removing them from
office

H.

el.

1 Evid on the petr's behalf
2 Evid to 13 by the Inmates

Inventory Number

No. 1173

8/11/17

1173. 8

45

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

Box
2 petition Branch
Dept'al
23/2/98

References
23/2/98
1173 of 97 Revenue Secretariat
Rec'd
4/3/98
Sent
2/3/98

Shows the duty of the Dy Comm^r
Have letters called for the records
Have written to the Comm^r to
Send the copy. Settled further
Petn. Issue been given an opportunity
Factory issued

Sub Dy^r Collr
4/3/98

1898

No. 2176

Section
File No 295 of 97
Serial No

Name of Petitioner Hatti Ranganada

Village Chennapatna

Taluk Chennapatna

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 21-2-98

Date of Receipt 23-2-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure two

ABSTRACT

This petition bears the signature of 3 persons one of whom Sheshia applied to the Dy Comm^r of Bangalore on 30-11-97 praying for a copy of the order passed by the late Dy Comm^r in T.R. 8-3-97 regarding kere bunds of Kadlu Narasamanyam village in Chennapatna Taluk - the copy of the Dy Comm^r in his endorsement No: 81 of 1-12-97 informing him that the records connected with the case were sent to Chennapatna Taluk with order No: 150 of 21-9-96 that

the copy applied for could not
be granted ~~that~~ the petitioner
therefore applied to the Auditor
of Accounts, who in his
Endt: No: 57 of 9-12-97 stated
that the Surveyor's Instr: was
not clear in the matter of
granting the copy - that they
~~had~~ had no orders to grant copies
of the kind referred to, that
the same might be obtained from
the ~~Copy~~ Survey on application
& that if the Surveyor au-
thorized, the copy ~~would~~ could be
granted.-
The petitioner states with ref:
to Govt: Endt: No: 1173 of 13-11-97
that on his applying for a
copy of the order each refers
him to the other, that he has
again applied to the Surveyor
for the same, that the Surveyor
disposed of the matter
without requiring him ~~to~~
~~the Matter~~ to a mere appli-
cation from the Lessee-
that the Lessee is a relative

8 2

relative of the grounds
& that therefore the said
order is unjust -

Prays that the matter may
be enquired into & the Hon'ble
Comm's order set aside.

26/2/98

Section R
File No 295 a/ 97
Serial No 3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 276 dated 21-2-98 received at the Dewan's Office from Halle malle'gowda of chennakegowdana Dod in the Channapatna Tal complaining that the e of ~~from~~ order of the C applied for by him was n granted to ~~pass~~ him

is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, for disposal. with the remarks that the duty of the C Court to have either the record and granted the copy or to have authorised the Munsif to grant the same ~~copy~~ and that unless the deposit has been made the application will be dismissed

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
18/3/1898

16/2/98

Refer
21.9.98
2176/87 Memo No 1166 8-3-98
22-9-98
Respondent up
AR
23/9/98
Filed
mdy
27.9.98

1166

10

1898

(4)

653

Name of Petitioner Hotte Malla & others

Village Sarvamanya Kudlur

Taluk Channapatna

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 16-9-98

Date of Receipt 16-9-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

Section

File No 295 of 97

Serial No 4

To D (comm) in Cant —
for early disposal by
end of 1/10/98

Noted
3.10.98

ABSTRACT

With reference to this office No. 2176/87 dated 21.2.98 state that neither the D(com) nor any other officer disposed of the case as yet. that Mr Khedah Shamiya has instituted 3 complaints against them and made them pay hundreds of rupees - that the case

is still pending with
the Chancel Sub Division
Office.
Prays that orders may
be passed to the Sub
to dispose of the case
early in accordance
the relevant

12 Bel 335 Pl

7-10-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Section ...
File No. 295 of 97
Serial No. 5

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition

Registered No. 683 dated 4-9-98

received at the Dewan's Office from ~~—~~

Hatte Malla and others of Sarvamangala Kudlur village in the Channapatna Taluk, complaining of the non-disposal by the application to the by Court praying for grant of copy of claim order

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ ~~enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District ~~a communication of the Dewan's office~~ for a full report of the facts of the case ~~no Pet dated 18-3-98 for early~~ and on the points urged in the petition. ~~disposal by submitting~~

~~2. The petition with its enclosure~~ should be returned.

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

189

3/10/98

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Repe

1-7-99

6-3 Dy Comm's No 335 of 1/1/98

Issue

1/7/99 Repe petition

AK

7-99

Subd

(1) Two Rs stamp affixed - Stamps defft

(2) Memdl of Comm's order complained of is dt 30-3-99 - This order refers to a previous order without mentioning its date or number.

(3) Petrs pray for stay of execution of the Dep Comm's order pending disposal of this appeal

Endt

MAK 4-7-99 The Dy Comm's was asked vide his No Pet 1166 of 18/3/98 to furnish Petrs with a copy of the pet order & the Dy Comm's ce the order of 15/7/96. Petrs shd produce this order

by 5/7/99

Endtn returned 6/7/99 - P

Dy

6-7-99

13

1899

No. 2947

Name of Petitioner Hottemalla & two Others Kerekanni servants of

Village Kudlur.

Taluk Channapatna.

District Bangalore.

Date of Appn 25-6-99

Date of Receipt 28-6-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one.

ABSTRACT

This is an appeal against the order No 1173 of 30-3-99 of the Dy Comm's, Bangalore which runs as follows:—

"The order passed by the previous Dy. Comm's cannot be changed now. If you interfere with the actions of the Amildar in carrying out the order of the previous Dy Comm's, in giving possession of the land to Lakku Gowda & others according to the wishes of the ~~petitioner~~ Inamdars

me the copy of the record furnished."

The Petrs state that according to Govt Instr No 653 of 14-9-95 forwarding their appeal Petn to the Hy: Commr for disposal, the Hy: Commr gave them the above Instr without examining them; that the previous Hy: Commr also has passed the order on the representations made by the Khalsa Supdt Mr Channingar a relative of the Vrittidar, without examining either the Petrs or the Vrittidars. Pray that the case may be enforced by Govt after sending for the record of the case & that the Hy: Commr & the Amildar may be ordered not to carry out the previous order till the final decision of this case.

Enc 1 Hy: Commrs
Govt No 1179 of 30-3-96

Refer

22.8.99

To Ver Record for report -

6-7-99 Ver: Record.

The no quoted seems to be 2047 - But ref that endt

6/9/99

6.9.99

2047 of 99 Report ref.

Smk

11/9/99

Subd

mcg 12.9.99 The Dy Com^r has not yet granted the copy & the petr has apparently approached him

= Call for a report on petn No 1173 of 8/11/99

The Dy Com^r will also be requested to state if petr has been furnished a copy of the order dated 18/9/99 the Dy Com^r passed

21/9/99

Dft Com,
with Head font ref.
22.9.99

15

1897

No. 355

Name of Petitioner Kulla, Konda & Lecha

Village Kudlurukere

Taluk Channapatna

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 13-8-99.

Date of Receipt 14-8-99.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

In accordance with Govt Ord No. 2407 of 6-7-99 directing the D. Commr to grant them copy of order of 4-9-96 passed in re the Kudlurukere Inam lands, State that they are not given the copy of the above as yet though they have again applied for it before the D. Commr.

Pray that the D. Commr, in addition to being asked to give the copy of the above, may be ordered not to interfere

with the lands till the final
disposal of this case in
Court.

SM
12/8/99.

17

Rev. Inf. Petitions Ltr 14/8/99

350

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D No. 350
DATE 14-8-99

ಸನ್ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದ ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ
ಇವರವರಿಗೆ ನಾಂಪಲ್ಲಿ

ಸನ್ 1899ನೇ ಆಗಸ್ಟು ತಿಂಗಳ 14ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು

ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಷ್ಟಾಂಗ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಕೃಪೆಮಾಡಿ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಕೃಪೆಮಾಡಿ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಕೃಪೆಮಾಡಿ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ.

18 Pet 327 P2

27-9-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Section 12

File No 295 of 97

Petn No 8

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 1173 dated 8-11-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Hatti Mallegowda, and others of Chunchanagiri Gowdanadoddi, Nagamangala Hobli, Chunchanagiri Pk, against against the order of the Dy Comr. ~~disposing~~ regarding ~~dismissing them from the office of~~ Kerebandi Inam attached to Kudhur Kere

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition, ~~and~~.

3 The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

Draft

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,

22-9-1898

27

The Memorialists request to state if the petitioners have been granted a copy of the Dy Comr's order dated 08-9-96

192

1900/1901

From The Dy Commissioner of the Baingalore District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore & Coorg Revenue Dept

Dated 31st August 1900

Despatched 1st September.

Received

General No. 1299

D No. 31/35

Enclosure No. one Vide Secretary's Memo No. Pet 327 dated the 29th September 1899

Submits a report on the petition of Hotteyamallegowda and others of Channapatna taluk praying against the order of the Dy Commissioner, regarding Kerebandi Inam land attached to Ruddina Kere.

The above is referred for report & para 4 of Ch. Comm's proc No 4327 & 16/9/74 & 128

Also proc No 2927 & 22/6/76 & Rule IV & proc No 96 23 R 50 20/12/76. (P & J/d 237 Selection No 2

from the Records of Govt & he is requested & state his authority as well as the reasons for granting the Inam in this case & (2) The ground & further requested & obtain & submit a copy of the Report of enquiry relating & the Kerebande Inam in question

5/9/00

Officiating
CH
6/9

3.9.00
Rec'd
4/9.
All
4/9/1900

Report up
Subs
Sd. 4-9-00.

D. No.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 21st August 1900.

No.

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your
Official Memo No. 327 dated 29th September
1899, forwarding for report, with records,
a petition from Kotte Mallegowda and
others of Channarayapatna Taluk,
Hongadanahalli, Cheeranpatna Circle,
Appealing against the order of this office
regarding the Kere Kandi Inam attached
to the Kadlur Kere, I have the honor to forward
herewith the records connected with the
case and to report as follows:-

2. B. Ramaswami Gowda,
an inamdar of the Channarayapatna [illegible]

of Kudlur, Ellemapadua Gauda, petitioned
to the Deputy Commissioner, on the 5th
August 1895 that, as (1) Mallegowdana
Linga (2) Kondu and (3) Pecha, the
holders of the hereditary inam lands
under the Kudlur tank had, by neg-
=lect to perform their duties in ac-
=cordance with the inam tenure, al-
=caused the village tank to fall into
disrepair, their service inam land
might be resumed and granted to
Anja gowda and 2 others who were
willing to perform the duties properly and
to take up the inam land. The Deputy
Commissioner referred the matter to the
Ellemapadua Shanbhog, who reported
that the holders of the inam had not been
performing their duties, and that, as the
inam was unencumbered, it might
be resumed and granted to others.

Thereafter, the then Deputy Commissioner
issued orders to the Amildar to comply
with the request of Ramaswami Iyengar.
Upon this, one Kotte Pralla, Kinge vonda,
Kouda and Vella appealed to the
Deputy Commissioner to set aside the
above order, on the ground that the
order was passed summarily without
enquiry and without giving them an
opportunity to contest the allegations
made against them, that the representa-
tions made by the petitioner Ramaswami
Iyengar were false, and that the duties
imposed on them by the terms of the lease,
were regularly performed. The Deputy
Commissioner then heard the appellants
and their advocate, and referred the
matter again to the Amildar for further
enquiry in the presence of the parties,
and report on the following points:

whether the petitioners did their duty as holders of the Kerelandi inam (2), if not, what was the measure of their neglect (3) whether the petitioners are the hereditary owners of the inam land, and (4), how many of the Mittidars have consented to, and urge the dismissal of the petitioners from the post (Circle order No. 476 dated 5th March 1897, District No. 12). The Assistant held an enquiry and made a report* dated 4th July 1897, as follows:-

*District No. 44

(1) That out of 17 Mittidars said recalled in a meeting of the Mittidars held on 29th November 1896, that as the petitioners had not been doing their duties properly, they had appointed Santingowda and others for Kerelandi service.

(2). That the tank had been thrown into such a state of disrepair that the Government repaired it subjecting the inamdars to a heavy cost.

(3). That the inamdar was a
servile and was not enfranchised;
and (4). That all the Vatandars,
except 2, had conceded to dismiss the
petitioners from the land.

2- The Amildar
agreed with the finding of the inamdars,
and also reported that the Sub-Asst.
Register opined that the lands in
question were unenfranchised and
that the petitioners had not adduced any
evidence that they were hereditary
Vakdars.

3- The Deputy Commissioner,
Mr. V. N. Navarinmiagar, on perusal
of the records, ordered as follows:-
"It is clear from the above, that
the holders of the Kadiandi inam
did not execute the work required
of them from the conditions of the
tenure. The inamdars of the 2 villages

came to that conclusion, and at their request, the holder of the service in question has been vacated and the service has been given to another on the same tenure and conditions. My order of the 15th September 1896 is therefore correct, and should be enforced." ✕ It is against this order, that the present appeal is lodged.

✕ Vide No. 20 dated 5th September 1896 (Precis No. 14).

5— The petition with its enclosure is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

By. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Section 12 Vb

File No 295 of 97-10 From

Serial No

1900

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dist

Dated 6th October 1900

Despatched 11th

Received

General No. 2262 & Co/1900

P. No. 313

Enclosure No. 1 file

In returning the records referred to
with reference to the letter
within No. 343 dated the 3rd instant
regarding upon the petition of
Hosle Chikyappa's father of
Cheemapatna Taluk in re the
Kerebanda Inam land situated
to the Kadiraj land I have the
honor to invite his attention
to para 4 of the Chief Comr's
Pro: No. 4527 / 6.128 dt 4th Sept: 1874
& to Pro: No. 2227 / R.50 dt 22nd June
and to Rule 5th of Pro: No. 9620 / R.45
dt 20th Dec: 1876 printed in
pages

Pages 6, 7 & 27 of Petition 182 from Govt. Record and to request that he will be good enough to state the authority as well as the necessity for refusing the Lease in this case & granting it to another.

2. The Agent is further requested to obtain and Submit a Copy of the Register of Leases relating to the Premises in question.

By Order

Dft:

for Secretary.

x A Copy of which was forwarded to all Agents

Sulur
9-1-07
Rampur
Rec'd:

Ch. No. 4257

1900/1901
Pet. 232

From The Dy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev and Genl Depts

Dated 30th December 1900

Despatched 31st January 1901

Received

General No.
D No. 1028

Enclosure No. {One extract & a file

Secretary's docket No 2262 of P313
the 11th September 1900.

Submits report regarding the resumption of Kerehandi Inam granted for the maintenance of the tank in the Aswathanagar village of Kudlur in the Hebbannaghatta Taluk.

Please send to Secretary's Office
31/12/07.

I require information as to the area. Please send the plan on the 11th inst.
Bangalore
8/2/07.

The Dy Comm's attention is called to para 4 I & II of the Chief Comm's Notification No 4327 Ecclt dated 16th Sept 1874 (para 6 of section No II) and he is requested to state whether the Amildar took action in which the applicants failed & specify the reasons why the case does not come within the purview of the above para and as to the exact circumstances under which the repairs of the tank was resumed by Govt.
Dated 5/2/07.

Draft S. Bill for draft
Docket 16/2/07
Recd 5-1-07

No. 1028
Date of Extract

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 28th December 1900.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your
docket No. 2282/2813 dated the 11th September
1900 in re the Kerekeudi Tank granted
for the maintenance of the tank in the
Sarvamangala village of Kudlur in the
Channapatna Taluk, I beg to state
that the Taluk & High Commissioners'
orders quoted do not seem to be ap-
plicable to the present case, as they
refer to other than Kerekeudi tanks
in inam villages. The appellants
having failed to maintain the tank
for whose use they had been given

the inam in question, they were de-
=prived of it by the late Deputy Commissioner
and others were appointed in their stead.
This is apparently a case of clan-
=nicual of a defaulting or neglectful
servant paid not in money but by land
free of rent.

2. Extract from the register
of Inam enquiry called for in para 2 of
the docket under reply is enclosed as
also the Inamdar records connected
with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

31 1901

~~189~~

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 2/19/1901

Despatched

Received 20

General No. 6287

Ref: No. 1112

Enclosure No.

The Dy Commr's letter No 1028 dated 30.12.00, reporting on the petn of Hotte Malle gowda and others of Channapatna Hle, in re the resumption of the kareharali Inam attached to Kuddurkere in the said Talukk.

In returning the Vernacular records and the petns received with the above, for reference and recommission, has the honor to invite his attention to para 4 (I & II) of the Chief Commissioner's notification No 4327 dated 9.12.8 16.9.1874

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.

16th September 1874 —
(printed page 6 of Selection No. II of the records of the Upper Court); asks to request an early submission of the above report in details as to whether the land in which the appellants are said to have failed to execute the repairs comes within the purview of the above para and as to the actual circumstances under which the land was reported by Govt.

By order
Ms.

for Secy (acting)

162/01.

37

General Serial No. 30.)

19 00/1107 No. 6336
MAR 29 1901
Pet 377

Submitted as office note

27-3-01

Letter from ... No. 295 of 97 / 13

of the Bangalore Station

From The Dy Commissioner

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Rev & Rent Dept

Dated 19th
Despatched 20th } March 1901
Received

General No. } 190
D No. 1417

Enclosures Number. one cyd one file

Secretary's docket No. 6287 of Pet 1112

20th February 1901

submits particulars regarding Kerebandi Inams of the Inam village of Kudlur in the Shimoga district.

Please prepare a separate office note. Ex. 27/3/01.

Attended to 30/3/01.

Office

Before Sub to Dy Comr

Please enclose with the precis a Kms. copy of order No 4327 - E 41/28 dy 16th Sep 1874 (pp 5 & 6 of Selection No II). Ex hrs 2/4/01.

copy put up 3-4-01.

Sub to Dy Comr with a precis of above. Ex hrs. 2/4/01.

Submitted 3-4-01.

Rd 3/4/01

151

22.3.01

Read 23/3.

Refer put up

Ale 23/3/01

19th March 1901

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 6287/Rev 1112 of the 20th February 1901, in re the Kere bundi Inams of the Inam Village of Indlur in the Chennapatna Taluk, I beg to state that the land in question does not require the banks in any Government village, but that it is a Serial tank registered by Government at the request of the Inamdars who could not comply with the repeated demands of Government to bring their tanks to a standard of safety. The work

Workmen Gavanji Ajee Parajuate
- Gavi Kishanjee received a
Mufchalika on behalf of the
Inamdars on the 15th July 1879
to the effect that Government
might repair the tank, the
Enclosures of your docket under-
reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

W Coventry

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Mno

Encl to sl 13

2 Register Sheet No. 78
Jalut Ekserpeii
Holdi Ekvallóor
Village Khidlur
Agrahar
Surramanja

Copy of the Register of Inams in the Village of Kudlur Sirvamangala in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
Title-deed No. 418.			Mugurjairi account of 1831.	
19	Kerekkandi	Wet Nos. 185. 187. 191. 193. 197. 201 205. 214 and 222 Dry No. Nil	K. K. P. 5'-19-6¼	etc per details Survey-
			1-17-14 8/80 Nos: 2-19-4 7/8	Acres. Gunthas
			Wet 358	1-13
			wet 392	2-12
			wet 382	2-34
			Dry. 390	3-25
			wet 381	2-25
			wet 379	2-17
			wet 396	2-16
			Total	17-25

Title-deed No. 4864
Date of Preparation 1-7-79
Date of issue to Taluk
29-11-79

Late Closepet.

Sunnud of Gudlur in the Talook of Chandragiri

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND			
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
P. F. V. Rs. As. Ps. 14. 5. 8 = 42. 5. 3		For the support of the Bund of Tank in this village as per Deputy Commissioners' certificate the conditions of the grant have been Complied with.	
b As per detailed Survey Rs. As. Ps. Wet 9-0-0 Wet 12-0-0 Wet 18-0-0 Dry 4-0-0 Wet 16-0-0 Wet 15-0-0 Wet 13-0-0 — 87-0-0			

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of Bangalore

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
	1804 Kudlur Kere / Exam 3 Buffaloes 6 Dry at Hudaka Hosahalli Talar P. F. V Ollar. 26-2-8 K. C. L Wet Salak 5'-6-5' P. F. V 20-2-8 Haligaali 1-13-11/14 6-19-6 1/4 P. F. V 0-0-0 K. C. L Dry Salak 1'-19-6 Haligaali 0-0-8 16/16 1-19-14 16/16 P. F. V 6-0-0	1831 Kudlur Kere / Exam 3. Buffaloes 6 Dry K. C. L. P. F. V 1-2-4 16/16 6-0-0 Wet 5'-1'-6 11/14 14-5'-8 1-13-14 2/16 20-5'-8	

1/50

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner should be entered in the columns. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer

sidence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Kudlur 1. Kasi. 45 1/3	Heirs	Nil	
2 Konda 30 1/3		Nil	
3. Mallé Gauda Linga 1/3. 50		Nil	

Name of the Village

A. 1. Kudlur
B. 2. Hoddala Hosahalli
Dakhali of Hanjamar
Total —

41

ivision.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

Asili Case

1. Whole Grant valid

2. Higher Survey assessment Rs. 831 is adopted.

3. Total extent- P. T. V. 7-19-4 14/16.

20-8-3 Kare Loss

Area enjoyed as Inam	Extent			Survey			Dry	Whether wet or dry	Equivalent Land Revenue	Assessment	Rejected Lands
1st Class	5	13	6	4	0	3	Dry				
2nd Class	1	13	15	1	0	3	Light				2
	7	9	4 14/16	5	12	6					

A. is to be confirmed free being a Shrine Inam village under Rule 11 & Title-deed.

B has claimed when the village has granted on its present tenure, it is therefore to be struck off the Register

29.11.43. (Signed) Syed Kasamuddin Assistant.

1. Confirmed on the existing tenure, free.

(Signed) R. H. Cole.

Supdt of Inam Settlt

2. The area in column 4 and the Survey assessment in column 6 is finally confirmed free of tax

(Signed) J. F. Grant.

Supdt of Inam Settlt

Copy

Inam Settlt office, Bangalore, 29th Decr 1843.

(Signed) J. F. Grant

Supdt of Inam Settlement

Reference
28/6/01

2047/KK
2257/6/01, rt
1158 - 27-6-01

29/6 Fl. No 6336 / P.377 Q.Q.3-01.
from DC Bangalore letter No. 1417. relating to Kerebande Inams of the Inam village Kudlur, Channapatna T.Q. was submitted to the first Councillor on 3.4.1901

All
29-6-01 Submitted to Com/r
28.6.01

Vide order on the note.

5/Aug/01

14

No. 42

File No 98-99

Serial No 2957-99

1900-01.

No. 2843

Name of Petitioner Hotte Gowda

Village Channakke Gowda and Sawamanya Kudlur.

Taluk Channapatna

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 1-6-01

Date of Receipt 21-6-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure One. Endt. from Asst. Collr. No. 5 of 2.3-01

ABSTRACT

With reference to this office Endt. No. 23473 July 99, informing petrs that the H.J. Comr. has been directed to grant them copy of his order in re - the Kerebande, it states that on his application to the H.J. Comr., for a copy of his order, the Comr. has given Endt. No. 5 of 2.3-01 which runs as follows:-

Order No. 7409 24-4-01 has been received from the

Divisional Asst. Court

acknowledging the receipt

of yadast No. 1094 from the

Dy: Const. on your applica-

tion for the grant of a copy

of his order, That the said

copies cannot be granted as

the whole records of the case

have been submitted to Court.

(Two annas Court fee stamps,

procured by Pr. along with the copy

application, were also returned

with the above Endt:).

Pr. states that the Sub-

Div.-officer has caused the pro-

duce of the land to be kept

in custody by Court, pending

disposal of his appeal, there

by causing much hardship

to Pr. for his maintenance;

that the Surveyor, Police

inspector and others worry him

by executing all sorts of cases

against him.

prays for early disposal of

his appeal and for proper direction the restoration of the produce attached.

Petty Court-Deputy Collector
Dated 21-6-01
2843

44

MYSORE DEVELOPMENT
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2843/R
DATE 21-6-1901

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir,

I have the honour to submit that I am a Mahomedan by religion and a native of Bangalore. I am a Pensioner of the Mysore Government and am in receipt of a pension of Rs. 15 per mensem. I have been residing in Bangalore for the last 30 years. I have a house in Bangalore which I have been occupying for the last 20 years. I have a wife and a son who is a minor. I have no other property except the house in which I live. I have been suffering from a severe attack of fever for the last 15 days and am unable to attend to my duties. I have consulted a doctor who has advised me to take rest for a few days. I am therefore unable to attend to my duties and request that you will be pleased to grant me leave for a few days.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dated 19. 6. 901.

Section 47

File No 2958-47 1900

Serial No 15 From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements &c
Mysore

Dated 23rd/26th August 00.

Despatched 26th

Received

General No. Pet
No. 438

Enclosure No.

In forwarding herewith a copy of Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No: 343 dated 31/8/00 I have the honor to request that he will be so good as to favour Govt: with his opinion as to whether any additional quit-rent may be imposed on the Kudlur Inam village should the Kowlandi Inam thereon referred to be enfranchised on ½ Quit rent for life and charged

with full appointment thereto
under Chief Commissioner's
Proceedings No. 9620/R43 dated 20-12-76.

By Order

Asst.

For Secretary.

44

4223 1901-1902 (16)

1728-9-'01 Grant Kerai Sri-131

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To N. T. Ramaswamy Esq.

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 26th } September 1901
Despatched }
Received }

No. 26 of 1901—902.

Enclosure No.

Submits his opinion as called for in Secretary's No. 1-65 dated 24th Oct. 26th ultimo, regarding the Kerai Grant in the Kaidala Amani Village, Channa-patna Taluk.

Read 28/9.

(No 26)

Indian Superintendent's Office
Bangalore, dated 5th September, 1900.

From

Colonel J. W. Grant
Superintendent of Shan States.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter G.O. No. 2k No 458, dated 26th August 1900, on the subject of certain Kadavini Shans attached to the Shan Injan village of Kudlur, accompanied by a copy of Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No 345 of the 21st August 1900.

2. I am asked whether, in the event of the Kadavini Shans in question being enfranchised as Ryot Kans for life, and charged with full assessment therefor, any additional Ryot Kans may be imposed on the Kudlur Shan Village, to which the said Kadavini Shans are attached. I am not aware of any existing Rule supporting such a step.

3. My first reason, Colonel Cole, in 1897, confirmed these

these Kerimadi Evidence on the existing terms, free, in accordance, I presume, with Rule IV of the Konigi Rules of 1876. On the intimation of the Surveyed settlement into the Village I affirmed Council Collection, adopting the Survey Area and Assessment.

4. From the Deputy Commissioner's letter No 543 of 31st August 1900, I gather that the onus of maintaining the tank rests with the holders of the Village, Government apparently having repaired the tank & recovered the cost from the holders of the Village & have no means of knowing the circumstances under which Government stepped in and repaired the tank, whether it was due to neglect on the part of the Kerimadi Ryots or in accordance with the regulations for the joint maintenance of the tank as Capitation, in the process to Rule IV of the Konigi Rules of 1876.

5. The Kerimadi Evidence mentioned date from 1807, the grant of the Village from 1824, when the Village was granted, I presume that the Kerimadi Evidence went with the Village and the holders of the

Village

Village stepped into the position of the Government, so far, as the maintenance of the tank, and the land assigned for such purpose, were concerned. If this view is correct, Colonel Colebrooke, appears to have been quite proper. The question as regards, in my opinion, between the holders of the Village, and the Kani-ami Ryots. If any Quit-Rent be imposed it should be paid to the holders of the Village, and not to Government. If the Kani-ami Ryots have no hereditary right, their tenure is not (as) secure as they are liable to be ousted at the pleasure of the Circumstances. The existing tenure is to be confirmed and I do not see what course is open other than to let the holders of the Village and the Kani-ami Ryots share their differences, according to Law.

The Government can, initiate for the proper maintenance of the tank in accordance with the regulations laid down by the Irrigation Department. But as the imposition of Quit-Rent would be in contravention of Service I do not

See

the Hon. Government can benefit by such
Contribution, please accept the assurance
of my esteem.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Surveying Steamer Active

54
1173 / (17)

31-3-98

Diary No. 2958-97
Serial No. 17

* 1.
2.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶದಂತೆ ಮತ್ತು
ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಆದೇಶದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಶಾಲಾ ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಶಾಲಾ
ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಶಾಲಾ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು
ಕರೆದು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಲಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯಗಳು
ಮತ್ತು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ
ಕಾಲಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ
ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

By order

Sd/-

For Secretary.

ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆ
31-3-ಫ್ಲಿ

By order

Sd/-

For Secretary.

Sd/-
27/3/02

56 (18)

Section 12

File No 295 of 97

Serial No 18

Before the Asst Collector

Present

V. Venkatachara Rao Esqr B.A.S.

and

C. Srinivasa Iyengar Esqr

Appeal No 74 of 1897-98

Appts

1. Kotte Kallegowda
2. Sesha
3. Kondu

Respondents

M. Shama Iyengar, Agent and Manager of Sarvamanya Kudlur village Channapatna Tk

Draft pr appl

Appl & 1st Counsel

19/2/02

R. 19/2/02

1646

Appl 2d Counsel

20/2/02

Rec 20.2.02

Appeal against the order of the Dy Commr Bangalore dt 5, directing the resumption of the Kirevanti Inam lands of Kudlur village, Channapatna Taluk from the appts, and granting them to Kallegowda and 2 others.

Facts of the case

On 5th August 1895 B. Rangaswamy Aiyangar, one of the Trustees of Sarvamanya Inam Kudlur village represented to the Dy Commr that the

applicants who were the
holders of the Kenebrandi
Inams had allowed the
Inam lands to fall into
a state of utter disrepair
necessitating the interference
of the S.D.O. and required
that the Inam lands might
be resumed from them.
2. The Amildar having
reported that the applts
had neglected to maintain
the tanks, the Dy Commr
passed an order in
Septr 1896 that the request
of the Amildar might
be complied with. The
applts then applied for
a review of the order,
but after some enquiry
the Dy Commr rejected
their application. Hence
this appeal to Govt.

Decision

It is clear that in the

58

Kembavi

case of a long standing
inam like the one
under consideration the
Inamdar of the village
has no right to dis-
possess a Service Inam-
dar holder of his land,
2 Appeal allowed.

1st If the Service Inamholder
has failed to do the Service
for wh the land was granted,
the inam, it is open to the
Inamdar of the village to
have the Service Inam land
brought under assessment
following the rule applicable
to such lands in Govt Villages

No 814
A.T.G.S-01 S/ 4.02 Secy file

Copy to the Dy Commr
Bangalore dis to together
with Petitioners, and
with his letter No 1617
of 20th March 1902

H. Draft
26/3/02

Secretary

Priscopy
Inform Pr 3/4 Govt
4/4

with the permission of the
2nd Commissioner put in
the following sentence of
my memo dated 10th August
1901. as the decision is not
complete without it. We
should indicate to the Inamdar
what his remedy is in such
cases. [illegible]

I would be inclined
to dismiss the
petition with the
usual Government
Order
[illegible]
24/2/02

+ 95-3 Proof
1-4-02

55

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Petition, dated 1st April 1902.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PRESENT:

V. P. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., C. I. E.,

AND

C. SREENIVASIENGAR, ESQ.

Appeal No. 94 of 1897-98.

Appellants	*versus*	Respondent
1. Hotte Mallegauda 2. Sesha 3. Konda		Mr. K. Shamiengar, Agent and Manager of Sarvamanya Kudlur village, Channapatna Taluk.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, directing the resumption of the Kerebandi Inam lands of Kudlur village, Channapatna Taluk, from the appellants and granting them to Ankugauda and two others.

Facts of the case.

On 5th August 1895, B. Ramaswamiengar, one of the vrittidars of Sarvamanya Kudlur village, represented to the Deputy Commissioner that the appellants, who were the holders of the Kerebandi Inams had allowed the Inam tank to fall into a state of utter disrepair necessitating the interference of the Public Works Department and requested that the Inam lands might be resumed from them.

2. The Amildar having reported that the appellants had neglected to maintain the tank, the Deputy Commissioner passed an order in September 1896 that the request of the vrittidars might be complied with. The appellants then applied for a review of the order, but after some enquiry the Deputy Commissioner rejected their application. Hence this appeal to Government.

Decision.

It is clear that in the case of a long standing Kerebandi Inam like the one under consideration, the Inamdar of the village has no right to dispossess a Service Inamdar holder of his land. If the Service Inam holder had failed to do the service for which the land was granted in Inam, it is open to the Inamdar of the village to have the Service Inam land brought under assessment following the rule applicable to such lands in Government villages.

2. Appeal allowed.

No. 1874—P. F. 49-01, DATED BANGALORE, 1ST APRIL 1902.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, together with the records received with his letter No. D. 1417, dated 20th March 1901.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Exd.—J.T.R.

1901-02

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 1st April 1902

No. 1874
P. F. 49-01

Cancelling the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, directing the resumption of the Kerebandi Inam lands of Sarvamanya Kudlur village, Channapatna Taluk, from Hotte Mallegauda and other Service Inam holders.